



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

09/874,380

6/6/01

Tolen

EXAMINER

Cooney

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1711

8

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) George Tolen

(3) Chad Anderson

(2) David Kelly

(4) Kieth Maddaway

Date of interview 7/22/03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: multicolored foam mat

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1-8 & 36-39

Notification of prior art discussed: Guthrie et al. & JP-62-263,028

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of timing of injection of additive in the mixing head. It appears the language "intermittent injecting at least one additive into the pre-foam stream in the mixing head at a pressure higher than the first pressure under mixing conditions sufficient to provide for a laminar flow..." would overcome the instant rejections over the prior art for the product-by-process claim. Discussion regarding product claims (36-39), with consideration of def. the segments as "bands" being discussed for consideration on response

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature